### Emerging Technology Scenario

### Conservation Resources Advisory Committee September 2, 2015





### The 3B Scenario

- Eliminating carbon emissions with emerging technology
  - Not (completely) modeled in RPM
- Identify possible path to zero carbon future for electricity system by 2035
- Not limited by cost



# Step 1: Identify the Gap

#### • Run RPM with:

- No new carbon-emitting resources
- No cost limit on carbon-free resources
  - Conservation (include EE>\$170/MWh)
  - Demand response
  - Distributed PV, with achievability assumptions
  - Utility-scale PV
  - Wind
- Determine how much of remaining energy and peak demands are being met with carbon-emitting resources
  - This is the amount to offset
- RPM will seek an adequate system
- This is not an economic optimization!





# Step 2: Fill the Gap with ET

- Mix&Fill Scriba Procession
- Approach 1: Emerging technologies
  - Energy efficiency resources, such as: CO2 heat pump water heaters, next gen solid state lighting, highly insulated dynamic windows, advanced controls, evaporative cooling
  - Generation resources: Utility PV, enhanced geothermal, small modular reactors
  - Combined heat and power (biomass)
- Identify amounts and availability



Step 2: Fill the Gap with ET & Revised Hydro Dispatch

- Approach 2: Reconfigure hydro system dispatch
  - Operate the hydro system with emphasis on capacity rather than energy
  - Significant changes in standard operations, but still meeting regulatory requirements
  - Would still need emerging technology to fill a smaller gap (perhaps)
  - Likely a much lower cost option



### Status



1,100 aMW, 2300 MW<sub>winter</sub>

4,000 aMW, 0 MW<sub>winter</sub>

1,000 aMW, 0 MW<sub>winter\*</sub>

- Have estimates of ET (by 2035):
  - Conservation
  - Distributed PV
  - Utility PV
  - Geothermal
  - 9,000 aMW, 10,000 MW<sub>winter</sub> Modular reactors 2,000 aMW, 2300 MW<sub>winter</sub>
- Currently running RPM to identify the gap
- Result will be narrative discussion of resources needed for adequacy and possible solutions \*1,200 MW with Storage



### **Conservation ET Data**

	2025			2030				
Emerging Technology	aMW	MW (winter)	TRC Net Lev Cost (\$/MWh)	aMW	MW (winter)	TRC Net Lev Cost (\$/MWh)	Required Conditions	
Additional Advances in Solid-State Lighting	200	400	\$0-\$30	400	800	\$0-\$30	Continued tech improvement, resource availability	
CO <sub>2</sub> Heat Pump Water Heater	110	200	\$100-150	160	300	\$90-140	UL approval; U.S. market development	
$CO_2$ Heat Pump (space heat)	50	160	\$130-170	130	350	\$110-160	Best suited for hydronic heating, need research and development (R&D) for U.S. applications	
Highly Insulated Dynamic Windows - Commercial	20	130	\$500+	35	200	\$300	Intensive R&D effort needed to bring down cost; slow ramp due to window replacement schedule	
Highly Insulated Dynamic Windows - Residential	80	230	\$500+	120	350	\$400		
HVAC Controls – Optimized Controls	140	230	\$90-120	200	350	\$80-110	Significant developments expected in next 5 years	
Evaporative Cooling	50	0*	\$100-130	80	0*	\$90-120	Need R&D on configurations & applications in PNW	
Distributed Photovoltaics	800- 1400	0*	\$70-280	2200 - 4000	0*	\$60-250	High penetration may require additional integration costs and distribution system upgrades.	



## Generation ET Data (2035)

Emerging Generation Technology	Capacity (MW)	Energy (aMW)
Enhanced Geothermal Systems (EGS)	5,000	4,500
EGS Rapid Develop	10,200	9,100
Utility Scale Solar (per plant)	48*	10*
Small Module Reactors (SMR)	2,300	2,000

\*Per plant

