



Today's Agenda

- Welcome & introductions
- Purpose
- Overview of schedule and process
- ISRP Review
- Proposal form walk-through
- Questions

Reviews this series



Wildlife (29)



Mainstem and Program Support (48)



Resident Fish and Sturgeon (44)

Anadromous (Habitat, Hatchery) (134)

Schedule

Review Steps	Date	
Review Process Starts	February 2, 2021	
Review Materials Due	May 3, 2021	
Proposal Form Workshops	February 11 and 25, 2021	
Project Sponsor Presentations	Sequenced over several weeks in June/July 2021	
ISRP Preliminary Report	September 23, 2021	
Public Comment begins	September 24, 2021	
Responses Due	November 22, 2021	
Final Report	February 10, 2022	
ISRP Presentation to Council	February 2022	
Public Comment Ends	February 21, 2022	
Committee Recommendations	March 2022 *	
Council Recommendations	April 2022 *	

^{*} Dates for Committee and Council recommendations may change, but sponsors will be notified if that happens



What's new

- Word-based narrative template for your proposal form
- Submission directly to the Council
- Presentations format and time-frame more flexible for larger, integrated programs
- No site visits



Independent Scientific Review Panel

1996 Amendment to the NW Power Act Criteria

- Review projects for consistency with the Council's program and whether they:
 - are based on sound science principles
 - benefit fish and wildlife and
 - 3. have a clearly defined objective and outcome
 - 4. with provisions for monitoring and evaluation of results
- Review results of prior year expenditures (retrospective reviews)
- Based on the criteria provide recommendations on matters related to the projects.
- The Council must explain in writing when they diverge from ISRP recommendations.



ISRP Membership

- 11 Members plus Peer Review Group
- Screened by National Academies of Science, appointed by Council
- Independence: no financial conflicts of interest
- Multi-disciplinary expertise:
 - Columbia River anadromous and resident fish ecology
 - terrestrial, stream, river, estuary, and ocean ecology
 - artificial production and genetics
 - statistics and modeling
 - other relevant disciplines
- Balance between Columbia River Basin and broader experience



ISRP Review Process

- At least 3 reviewers for each proposal
 - Assigned based on expertise and experience
 - Teams for areas, programs, and topics (e.g., habitat restoration, artificial production, estuary, etc.)
- Anonymous review but opportunity for interactions at presentations
- Response loop for formal dialogue
- ISRP provides a consensus recommendation and comments for each proposal reviewed (individual member comments are confidential)



Proposal Pointers

- Make proposal stand-alone document, summarize results, literature; cite and provide links to the larger documents summarized (e.g. Subbasin plans, master plans, annual reports, and study designs)
- Follow logic path in justifying proposed action
- For ongoing projects, address issues identified in past ISRP and Council reviews



Go To TEMPLATE

Key Contacts



Name/email link	Org/Role	Phone	Questions related to
Mark Fritsch	Council staff	503-222-5161	Projects/process
Maureen Hess	Council staff	503-222-5161	Projects/process
Erik Merrill	ISRP Coordinator	503-222-5161	ISRP process/proposal form
Eric Schrepel	Council Web Specialist	503-222-5161	Template (technical)
Scott Donahue	Bonneville staff	503-230-3594	BPA Coordination



Questions

